Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/049,718	SHARMA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jeffrey E. Russel	1654
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Jeffrey E. Russel</u> .	(3)	
(2) Stephen A. Slusher.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 February 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: None	-	
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The text of the specification at page 28, lines 1-11, will be deleted by examiner's amendment, because this text repeats the text at page 27, lines 25-35.		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar Jeffrey Edwin Flusso. Primary Patent Examiner Art Unit 1654	e examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)
		•